



AI for food and beverage operations

Improving forecasting, standard work, customer insight, and multi-site operating discipline.

WORKS WITH THE ASSISTANTS TEAMS ALREADY USE



EDITION 20 / EMBER & CLAY

EXECUTIVE WORKING EDITION - 08 OCT 2026

20

AT A GLANCE

Improving forecasting, standard work, customer insight, and multi-site operating discipline.

Restaurants, cafes, caterers, bakeries and beverage producers run on thin margins, perishable stock and teams with high turnover. AI can help with demand signals, menu and recipe knowledge, operational checklists and customer feedback, but only when the basics of data and standard work are in place. This playbook shows where it fits, how it works, who should own it, how to protect food safety and guest trust, and how to measure results against a baseline. It closes with a practical 30/60/90 plan for operators of one site or many.

WHO IT IS FOR

Owners, operations directors, area and general managers, culinary and procurement leads, and franchise or multi-site operators in food service, hospitality and beverage businesses.

TIME TO USE

60 minutes to read; one working session to apply

What you will have at the end

- A shortlist of high-value, low-risk use cases for your sites
- A plan to improve demand forecasting without overbuilding
- A way to make standard work and menu knowledge easy to find on shift
- A baseline and metric set covering waste, labor, speed and guest feedback

Contents

01	Where AI helps a food and beverage business	03
02	Use cases: what fits, what needs care, what to avoid	04
03	How demand signals, knowledge tools and feedback analysis work	06
04	Roles, ownership and multi-site discipline	07
05	Food safety, guest trust, data and people	09
06	Baselines, metrics and test sets	10
07	Implementation guide: from first site to many	12
08	Common pitfalls and an illustrative scenario	13
09	Questions to ask vendors and your own team	14
10	A first quarter that produces evidence	16
11	Terms used in this publication	17

EXECUTIVE BRIEF

Where AI helps a food and beverage business

01



The wins are in consistency and attention: fewer surprises on the line, fewer wasted ingredients, and faster learning across sites.

Food and beverage operations are decided in small moments: how much to prep for Saturday, whether a new hire knows the allergen rules for a dish, whether the closing checklist was actually done, what guests said about wait times last month. Most of the information to improve those moments already exists in point-of-sale data, recipes, schedules, supplier invoices and reviews. It is just scattered and nobody has time to read it all.



AI helps in three ways. It spots patterns in numbers, such as demand by daypart. It answers questions from your own documents, such as how a dish is built or what the opening procedure is. And it reads large volumes of text, such as reviews and survey comments, and summarizes the themes. In each case a manager decides what to do. The tool prepares, flags and drafts.

- Better prep and ordering decisions through clearer demand signals
- Faster onboarding and fewer errors through searchable menu, recipe and procedure knowledge
- More consistent execution across sites through checklists that are easy to follow and audit
- Faster understanding of guest feedback without reading every comment by hand

**BOUNDARY**

Food safety decisions, allergen declarations and regulatory records stay with trained people and your approved procedures. AI can help people find and follow them. It must never be the authority on whether food is safe or an allergen is present.



WORKING QUESTION

Which recurring decision in your business is made today by gut feel because nobody has time to look at the numbers?

WHERE IT FITS

Use cases: what fits, what needs care, what to avoid

02

Rank candidates by value, data readiness and the cost of a wrong answer.

USE CASE	FIT	WATCH FOR
Demand signals: forecast covers, items prep quantities by daypart	Strong with 12+ months of clean sales data	Events, weather, local closures and promotions not in the data
Menu and recipe knowledge assistant for staff	Strong	Outdated recipes; allergen information must come only from the approved matrix
Operational checklists: opening, closing, line checks, cleaning	Good	Tick-box behavior; AI cannot verify work was done
Customer feedback analysis across reviews, surveys and delivery apps	Strong	Small samples, fake or extreme reviews, mixed topics in one comment
Draft supplier and staff communication, shift notes, training material	Good	Tone and accuracy still need a manager
Inventory and ordering suggestions	Moderate	Stock counts that are wrong in the system make suggestions wrong

USE CASE	FIT	WATCH FOR
Automated allergen, food safety or temperature compliance decisions	Avoid as a decision maker	Use trained staff, calibrated sensors and approved procedures



The common thread is data. A forecast is only as good as your sales history and the context attached to it. A menu assistant is only as good as your recipe cards. Many operators find the first project is not AI at all: it is cleaning up item names in the point-of-sale system so that a burger sold as three different codes can be counted as one.

Scoring a candidate

- Value: how many hours, how much waste or how many guest complaints does it touch each month?
- Data readiness: is the data available, consistent and owned by someone?
- Risk: could a wrong answer harm a guest, break a rule or embarrass the brand?
- Adoption: will a busy shift lead actually use it between tickets?
- Speed to evidence: can you see a result within six to eight weeks?



COMMON MISTAKE

Starting with a customer-facing chatbot because it is visible. Start internal. Staff-facing tools let you learn safely before a guest sees an error.

WORKING QUESTION

If you could only improve one of waste, labor scheduling, speed of service or onboarding this quarter, which pays back fastest?



HOW IT WORKS

How demand signals, knowledge tools and feedback analysis work

03

Three different mechanisms sit behind the headline term AI. Each fails in a different way.

Forecasting

Forecasting models learn from history: sales by item and daypart, day of week, season, holidays, promotions, weather and local events. They produce an expected range, not a certainty. For a restaurant, a useful output might be expected covers for lunch with a low and high band, which a kitchen manager converts to prep levels. Many point-of-sale and inventory platforms already include basic forecasting. Ask how it handles new items, one-off events and stock-outs, because a stock-out looks like low demand in the data.

Knowledge assistants

A language model can answer questions from your recipe cards, build specs, training guides and procedures. The tool searches your documents for the relevant passage and drafts an answer from it, ideally showing the source. A new line cook can ask how the braise is plated and which sauce goes with it. The risk is a stale or contradictory source: if two versions of a recipe exist, the answer may quote either.

Feedback analysis

A model can read thousands of reviews, survey comments and delivery-app notes and group them by theme such as wait time, temperature, portion, friendliness or cleanliness, with sentiment attached. Counts per theme, per site and per month show where to look. Sarcasm, slang and comments covering several issues can be mislabelled, so sample the groupings and read the underlying comments before acting.

MECHANISM	INPUT	TYPICAL FAILURE
Forecasting	Item-level sales history, calendar events	Hidden stock-outs, promotions not recorded, new items
Knowledge assistant	Recipes, specs, procedures	Out-of-date or duplicate documents
Feedback analysis	Reviews, surveys, tickets	Biased sample, misread tone, merged themes

WORKING QUESTION

For your busiest site, do you have 12 months of sales data with consistent item names and a record of promotions and stock-outs?

OPERATING MODEL

Roles, ownership and multi-site discipline

04

Operating discipline is where food and beverage tools succeed or die. Someone must own the content and the habit.

ROLE	OWNS	TYPICAL PERSON
Executive sponsor	Priorities, budget, scale or stop decision	Owner or operations director
Process owner	Standard work, checklists, menu knowledge accuracy	Culinary lead or operations manager
Data owner	Point-of-sale item hygiene, inventory counts, calendar of events	Finance or systems manager
Site champion	Daily use, feedback to the process owner	General manager at each pilot site
Food safety lead	Allergen matrix, HACCP-style records, regulatory contact	Quality or compliance manager

For a group, the central team owns definitions and content; sites own execution. A recipe change should be made once, in one place, and flow to every site. A common failure is each site keeping its own binder, spreadsheet or chat history, so that standards drift. If you operate franchised units, be clear about which tools are brand standard and which are local choices, and who may see which data.

01 Define standard work

Write each key procedure, such as opening, allergen handling or line setup, as a short checklist in plain language with photos where helpful.

02 Put it where people work

Make it available on the devices staff already use, in the languages your team speaks, and searchable in plain questions.

03 Assign content owners

Each recipe, spec and procedure has an owner and a review date. Retire old versions.

04 Review weekly

A short routine at site level looks at questions staff asked that the tool could not answer. Those are gaps in your standards.

STAFF TURNOVER

High turnover is the reason these tools pay off, and the reason they must be easy. If a new hire needs a login saga to look up a recipe, they will ask a colleague instead.

WORKING QUESTION

Who owns the master version of each recipe and procedure today, and could a new manager find it in five minutes?

RISKS AND CONTROLS

Food safety, guest trust, data and people

05

Match controls to consequence. Allergen and food safety content gets the strictest treatment.

RISK	CONTROL	OWNER
Wrong allergen information given to staff or guests	Allergen answers only from the approved matrix, always cite it, escalate unclear cases to a manager	Food safety lead
Stale recipe or procedure used	Single source of truth, review data, remove old files	Process owner
Forecast drives over- or under-prep	Treat as a range; manager overrides; track forecast error weekly	Kitchen manager
Guest personal data in feedback or loyalty data	Collect minimum data, restrict access, check vendor terms and local privacy rules	Systems manager
Staff feel monitored or replaced	Explain purpose, involve shift leader, use tools to remove admin, not to rank people	Sponsor
Fake or manipulated reviews skew insight	Weight verified sources; look at trends not single comments	Marketing lead

Allergen information deserves special mention. A model can sound certain while being wrong, and the consequence of a wrong answer can be severe. Configure any knowledge assistant to answer allergen questions only by quoting the approved allergen matrix, to state the date of that matrix, and to direct staff to a manager when a dish is modified or the matrix is silent. Do not allow free-form allergen answers.

Scheduling support raises fairness and employment questions. If a tool suggests shifts, make sure managers can see why, that employee availability and legal requirements are respected, and that no one is penalized by an opaque score. Check current labor and scheduling rules in the places you operate.

Control checks before go-live

- Allergen answers are restricted to the approved matrix with a visible date
- A manager can override any forecast or suggestion
- Vendor terms on data use and retention are reviewed
- Staff know what to enter into tools and what never to enter
- There is a way to report a wrong answer and see it fixed

WORKING QUESTION

If a tool gave a wrong allergen answer on a Friday night, would anyone catch it before the plate left the pass?

MEASURING VALUE

Baselines, metrics and test sets

06

Food and beverage has plenty of numbers. The task is to choose a few that tie to money and guests, and to measure before you change anything.

Choose one or two pilot sites and one or two comparison sites. Capture at least four to eight weeks of baseline data, long enough to cover your weekly pattern. Record the numbers you already have and add a few simple counts: prep waste logged by reason, number of onboarding questions, and checklist completion. Keep the same measurement method through the pilot so the comparison is fair.

METRIC	HOW TO MEASURE	NOTE
Forecast accuracy	Compare predicted and actual covers or item sales by daypart, as such as last week's same day error percentage	Track against a simple baseline
Food waste	Weigh or count logged waste by category and reason	Waste per cover is fairer than total waste

METRIC	HOW TO MEASURE	NOTE
Prime cost	Food plus labor as a share of sales	Many factors move it; look at trend, not a single week
Speed of service	Ticket time from order to hand-off	Watch quality alongside speed
Onboarding time	Days until a new hire works a station unsupervised	Record sign-off by a trainer
Guest feedback	Theme counts and rating trend per site	Compare like periods
Checklist completion	Share of checklists completed on time	Spot-audit a sample for accuracy

K

Test the knowledge tool

- 01 Write the questions**
Collect 40 real questions staff have asked: how to build a dish, a par level, how to close the fryer, what is in the sauce.
- 02 Record correct answers**
The culinary lead writes the correct answer and source for each question.
- 03 Score**
Mark each tool answer correct, partly correct, wrong or properly escalated. Set a pass mark, and make allergen questions a separate set that must score perfectly.
- 04 Repeat after changes**
Re-run whenever recipes, menus or tool settings change.



ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

A site wastes prep on slow Tuesdays. After a clearer forecast, the manager reduces Tuesday prep for two items and tracks waste per cover. Numbers here are only a method: baseline, change one thing, measure, keep or revert.

WORKING QUESTION

Which three numbers would you show the owner in 60 days to prove this is working?

S.

IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation guide: from first site to many

07

Prove it in one site, write it down, then copy it.

01 Pick the use case

Choose one problem with a clear metric, such as prep waste on three high-waste items or onboarding for new line cooks.

02 Fix the inputs

Clean item names in the point-of-sale system, update recipe cards, confirm the allergen matrix, and record promotions and events on a shared calendar.

03 Choose a tool

Prefer features inside systems you already use if they meet the need. Otherwise select a tool that cites sources, restricts access and can be tested on your data.

04 Run the pilot

Four to eight weeks at one or two sites with a site champion, a weekly review and an agreed stop rule.

05 Standardize

Document the working method, the content owners and the review rhythm. Train managers using real examples from the pilot.

06 Scale deliberately

Add one site or one use case at a time. Re-check data quality at each new site.

Pilot readiness

- One measurable problem is chosen with a baseline
- A site champion and a process owner are named
- Recipes and procedures have owners and review dates
- Allergen content is locked to the approved matrix
- Staff have been told what the tool is for and not for
- The weekly review is in calendars

Training works best at the station, in short bursts. Show a new hire how to ask the knowledge tool a question during a quiet period, then let them try it. Encourage staff to flag wrong answers; treat each flag as a gift, not a complaint. For multi-site groups, share a monthly note of what sites learned, including what failed.


WORKING QUESTION

Which site has the steadiest data and the most engaged general manager to be your first pilot?

PITFALLS

Common pitfalls and an illustrative scenario

08



Most failures come from messy data, unowned content and over-trusting a number.

- Forecasting with item codes that changed twice in the last year.
- Treating a stock-out day as a low-demand day.
- Letting each site maintain its own recipe versions.
- Using an assistant for allergen questions without locking it to the matrix.
- Chasing a customer-facing chatbot before internal tools work.
- Reading single reviews as trends.
- Measuring waste only as total pounds or dollars, not per cover.


ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO (HYPOTHETICAL)

A three-site cafe group buys a forecasting add-on. Predictions look poor at one site. Investigation shows the site runs out of a popular pastry by 10 a.m., so sales history understates demand. Managers begin logging sell-outs, and the forecast improves. The lesson: the data records what you sold, not what guests wanted.



A second common trap is novelty fatigue. Staff try a tool for two weeks, find answers wrong twice, and stop. Counter this with a fast fix loop: wrong answers are corrected within days, and staff are told. A tool that visibly improves earns trust; one that stays wrong does not get a second chance.

Finally, be careful with sentiment dashboards. A rating drop might reflect a heat wave and a broken air conditioner, not the kitchen. Use theme analysis to decide where to look, then go and look.

WORKING QUESTION

What is the one piece of data in your business that you know is wrong but nobody has fixed?

BUYING AND VENDORS

Questions to ask vendors and your own team

09

Many food service platforms now include AI features. Test them on your own data before paying extra.

TOPIC	ASK	WHY IT MATTERS
Forecast method	What inputs does it use, how does it treat stock-outs and new items, and can we see error by item?	Hidden assumptions drive results
Integration	Does it read from our point-of-sale, inventory and scheduling systems without manual export?	Manual steps kill adoption
Allergen handling	Can answers be limited to our matrix with a visible date?	Safety critical
Data	Who owns guest and sales data, how long is it kept, is it used to train other models?	Privacy and commercial confidentiality

TOPIC	ASK	WHY IT MATTERS
Multi-site	Can we manage content centrally and push to sites with local variation?	Standards at scale
Support and exit	What training is included and how do we export our data?	Avoid lock-in



Ask for a trial on a recent period where you know what happened, then compare the tool's output to reality. A vendor who is confident will welcome this. Ask also for references from operators of similar size and format, and speak to them directly about what they had to change in their own operations to make the tool work.

Before you sign

- The tool was tested on your own historical data
- Allergen controls are demonstrated, not described
- Terms on data, price changes and cancellation are reviewed
- Staff devices and languages are supported
- You know who will own the tool after launch



WORKING QUESTION

What result from a trial on your own data would make you say no?



30 / 60 / 90

A first quarter that produces evidence

10

Spend 90 days proving one use case at one or two sites, with clean inputs and a baseline.

First 30 days: ground it

- Choose one use case and one or two pilot sites with a site champion
- Capture four to eight weeks of baseline on waste, labor, speed or onboarding
- Clean point-of-sale item names and update recipe cards and the allergen matrix
- Name process, data and food safety owners

Days 31 to 60: test it

- Run the pilot with a weekly review of questions, misses and overrides
- Build a 40-question test set and score the knowledge tool, with allergen questions scored separately
- Log sell-outs, promotions and events so demand data reflects reality
- Collect staff feedback and fix wrong answers within days

Days 61 to 90: decide

- Compare results to baseline against the metrics you chose at the start
- Decide to scale, change or stop, and write down why
- Document the working method and content ownership
- Plan the next site or use case, re-checking data quality first

GLOSSARY

Terms used in this publication

11

TERM	MEANING
Cover	One guest served; a common unit for forecasting restaurant demand.
Daypart	A segment of the trading day such as breakfast, lunch or dinner.
Prime cost	The combined cost of food and labor, usually shown as a share of sales.
Par level	The target quantity of an ingredient or item to have on hand.
Prep sheet	A list of what the kitchen must prepare before service, with quantities.
Standard work	A documented, agreed best-known way to perform a task.
Allergen matrix	A controlled table showing which allergens each menu item contains.
Point-of-sale data	Transaction records showing what was sold, when and at what price.
Stock-out	When an item runs out; sales data then understates true demand.
Forecast error	The gap between predicted and actual demand.
Knowledge assistant	A tool that answers staff questions from your own documents.
Sentiment analysis	Automatic labelling of text as positive, negative or neutral, often by theme.

A note on use

This playbook is general operational guidance. It is not food safety, legal or employment advice. Follow your approved food safety procedures and check current regulations where you operate.



TAKE THE NEXT STEP

Take the free Halden assessment at halden.company/assessments, or talk to us at halden.company/contact about turning this into a plan for your organization.

